PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 1 9 JAN 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PAWENTABILITY PCT

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416	\neg							
150385/KB	On Onnen Aon										
International application No. PCT/CZ2004/000068	International filing date (day 14.10.2004	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.10.2003								
international Patent Classification (IPC) or na	ational classification and IPC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
C07F15/00											
				ļ							
Apolicant											
Applicant PLIVA-LACHEMA A.S.											
This report is the international pre Authority under Article 35 and train	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 										
2. This REPORT consists of a total	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.										
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:										
a. sent to the applicant and t											
and/or sheets containi	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).										
☐ cheete which superse	de earlier sheets, but whi	ch this Authority consi	ders contain an amendment that goo cated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	9 8							
Supplemental Box.											
b. (sent to the International I	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a										
Box Relating to Sequence	e Listing (see Section 802	of the Administrative	Instructions).								
Age 1											
☐ Box No. 1 Basis of the op	☑ Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion										
☐ Box No. II Priority											
☐ Box No. III Non-establishr	ment of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability									
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of											
applicability; c	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement										
☐ Box No. VI Certain docum											
☐ Box No. VII Certain defect											
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	vations on the internations	al application									
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	nis report								
13.05.2005		20.01.2006									
Name and mailing address of the internati preliminary examining authority:	ional	Authorized Officer	official Policy	name.							
European Patent Office - P	.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Dimlock t	<u> </u>	J. Salar							
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx:	s Bas 31 651 epo nl	Rinkel, L	9								
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	•	Telephone No. +31 70	340-2834	10.							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/CZ2004/000068

_									
	Box	k No. I	Basis of the report					 	
1.	Witl filed	h regard d, unles:	regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		which inte	port is based on transis the language of a termational search (uncolication of the international preliminary	anslation furnished ler Rules 12.3 and tional application (i	d for the purpo 23.1(b)) under Rule 12	ses of:	g language ,		
2.	With regard to the elements * of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets we have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in the report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):							sheets which d to in this	
	Description, Pages								
	1-7			as originally filed					
	Clai	ims, Nur	nbers						
	1-3			as originally filed					
		a sequ	ence listing and/or an	y related table(s) -	see Suppleme	ental Box Relating	to Sequence Li	isting	
3.		☐ the ☐ the ☐ the ☐ the	nendments have resurdescription, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (spectable(s) related to se	ecify):				:	
4.	□ had Sup	not been plemen the	port has been establien made, since they had been made, since they had been establied to see the portion, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets figs sequence listing (spetable(s) related to see	eave been consider ecify):	ed to go beyo	ents annexed to the nd the disclosure a	nis report and lis as filed, as indic	sted below cated in the	
	*	If it	em 4 applies, so	me or all of t	hese sheet	e mare ho marke	od II au nova od	J	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 2,3 No: Claims 1

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-3

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-3

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/CZ2004/000068

Re Item V.

1 The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 03/004505 A

2a Novelty: Yes Claims 2,3

D1, which is considered to be the closest prior art document, describes a process for preparing oxaliplatin (see e.g. example 1). The present process differs from that disclosed in D1, in that it employs a quarternary ammonium iodide where in the process of D1 potassium iodide or sodium iodide is used. Consequently, the process of claims 2,3 is considered to be novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

2b Novelty: No Claim 1

Document D1 discloses oxaliplatin: In the absence of proof to the contrary, it is assumed that the oxaliplatin of D1 falls within the scope of claim 1. Consequently, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

3 Inventive Step: No Claims 1-3

3a Claim 1: As the subject matter of claim 1 is considered not to be novel, it is also considered to be not inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).

3b Claims 2,3: No result of the difference between the presently claimed process and the one disclosed in D1 could be found that could be regarded as an unexpected technical effect (such an unexpected effect could be e.g. a more efficient process or an improved product). Therefore, in the absence of un unexpected technical effect, the problem underlying claims 2,3 is considered to be the provision of a further process for the preparation of oxaliplatin. Given the similarity of the process of the present application and that disclosed in D1, the presently claimed process is considered a process by analogy, and therefore not inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).